Enhanced hydrothermal activity on an ultraslow-spreading supersegment with a seismically detected melting anomaly
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ABSTRACT

Seafloor hydrothermal venting fields occur on all ocean spreading ridges (OSRs) regardless of spreading rates. However, the distribution of seafloor hydrothermal activity such as frequency and spacing on ultraslow-spreading OSRs are poorly known. Chinese Dayang cruises from 2015 to 2016 conducted detailed water column surveys for seafloor hydrothermal activity using a towed system, with an array of turbidity sensors and a near-bottom camera, along the ultraslow-spreading Southwest Indian Ridge. Here we report the discovery of multiple hydrothermal plumes overlying segments 28, 29, and 30 between the Indomed and Gallieni fracture zones. From these data, and earlier explorations in segments 25–27, we identify nine active venting sites. The spatial density (Fs, sites/100 km) of active sites along the 394 km of ridge axis in our study area is thus 2.8, nearly 3× higher than predicted by the global trend of Fs for ultraslow OSRs in the InterRidge database. Previous studies concluded that an enhanced magma supply to the central Indomed–Gallieni supersegment 11–8 Ma is now limited to segment 27. Our results indicate that although hydrothermal activity may be most concentrated in segment 27, the discoveries of active venting in segments 25–30 implies the presence of additional magma bodies across a broad extent of the Indomed–Gallieni supersegment.

1. Introduction

Nearly four decades of surveying seafloor hydrothermal activity has resulted in the discovery of about 507 confirmed (visually) or inferred (from water column observations) hydrothermal venting fields along ocean spreading ridges (OSRs) (http://vents-data.interridge.org; Tao et al., 2012; Beaulieu et al., 2015; German et al., 2016; Baker et al., 2017). There is a global trend between the spatial frequency of hydrothermal venting fields (Fs, sites/100 km) and the full spreading rate of OSRs, which is considered to reflect the long-term magmatic budget (Baker et al., 1996; Baker and German, 2004; Baker, 2017). According to this model, about 800 hydrothermal venting fields remain to be found, and nearly 450 of these will be on slow- (20–55 mm/a) and ultraslow- (< 20 mm/a) spreading OSRs (Beaulieu et al., 2015).

Magmatic activity and tectonically generated permeability are regarded as two vital geological processes controlling seafloor hydrothermal activity along OSRs (e.g., Baker et al., 2004; German et al., 2016; German and Parson, 1998b; Son et al., 2014). High-temperature hydrothermal venting driven by an axial (or near-axial) magma chamber, generally associated with axial volcanic ridges, has been found on segments of fast, intermediate, slow, and ultraslow OSRs (Gente et al., 1991; Allerton et al., 1995; Ondrėas et al., 1997; Baker, 2009; Haase et al., 2007, 2009; Marcon et al., 2013; Yue et al., 2019). In contrast to magmatically controlled hydrothermal activity on fast- and intermediate-rate OSRs, the location of many vent fields along slow and ultraslow OSRs are controlled by tectonic activity, typically on non-transform offsets and ridge valley walls above an axial volcanic ridge (Demartin et al., 2007; Tao et al., 2012; German et al., 2016). In these areas, long-lived, downward-dipping faults provide pathways for hydrothermal fluid flow (McCaig et al., 2007, 2010; German et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2013). Although mantle upwelling and crustal cooling provide heat along slow-spreading OSRs (Canales et al., 2007; Escartin et al., 2008), magma sources are necessary for long-lived, high-temperature hydrothermal venting (Lowell, 2010).
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In the past two decades, nearly half of the systematic survey efforts for hydrothermal activity have been conducted along slow-spreading OSRs, whereas the fewest occurred on ultraslow OSRs (Beaulieu et al., 2015). China Ocean Mineral Resources Research & Development Association conducted China Dayang Cruises (CDC) #34 and #39 in 2016 and 2017, in order to investigate seafloor hydrothermal activity on segments 27, 28, 29 and 30 along the Southwest Indian Ridge between the Indomed and Gallieni fracture zones. The cruises were related to a contract between the China Ocean Mineral Resources Research & Development Association and the International Seabed Authority for polymetallic sulphides exploration (Fig. 1). The study area has two intriguing aspects for seafloor hydrothermal activity: ultraslow spreading and areas of unusually thick crust (up to ~10 km) suggestive of a local melting anomaly. Here we report the discovery of multiple hydrothermal plumes and discuss the implications in terms of variable magma sources to an ultraslow-spreading ridge.

2. Geological setting

The Southwest Indian Ridge, extending ~7700 km between the Rodriguez and Bouvet triple junctions, is an ultraslow-spreading ridge with a full spreading rate of 12.2–14.5 mm/a (DeMets et al., 2015) (Fig. 1). Our study area on the central Southwest Indian Ridge is a first-order supersegment from 46°E to 52°20′E, between the Indomed and Gallieni fracture zones. The position of the mid-ocean ridge axis corresponds to the center of present magmatic or tectonic activity (Mendel et al., 1997; Macdonald, 1998; Cannat et al., 1999). Second-order segments on the Indomed–Gallieni supersegment are numbered 25–32 from east to west (Cannat et al., 1999) (Fig. 2).

An apparent increase in magma supply occurred suddenly between 11 and 8 Ma along the central portion of the supersegment (Sauter et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2017; Yu and Dick, 2020). The shallowest depth of the supersegment axis is ~1650 m on segment 27, one of the two shallower ridge segments on the Southwest Indian Ridge (Zhang et al., 2013). Seismic studies suggest the melting anomaly is currently centered in segment 27, with a smaller anomaly possibly present in segment 28. The 9.5-km-thick crust revealed by seismic data along- and off-axis at segment 27 suggests that intense magmatism lasted at least 3 Ma (Zhang et al., 2013; Li et al., 2015). An asymmetric seafloor fabric and dome-like topography have been observed on segment 28 (Zhao et al., 2013), where the crustal thickness is ~5 km (Niu et al., 2015; Li et al., 2015). Three hydrothermal venting fields have been previously reported in our study area, including the inactive field Duanqiao in segment 27, and the active fields Longqi in segment 28 and Yuhuang in segment 29 (Han et al., 2010; Tao et al., 2012) (Figs. 1, 2). Additionally, two inferred hydrothermal venting fields were reported in segment 25 and 26 (Tao et al., 2009).

3. Data and methods

The topographic map in this study was drawn using the bathymetry data with a grid of 50-m intervals, acquired during cruises of the R/V Dayang Yihao and the R/V Xiangyanghong 10 from 2008 to 2016, using a Simrad EM120 multibeam system that operated with a source frequency of 12 kHz (Fig. 2).

Based on bathymetric analyses of the study area, we selected survey areas covering ~6000 km² for exploration during the first and second legs of China Dayang cruise (CDC) 34 in 2015 and the first leg of China Dayang cruise 39 in 2016. Nearly half of the areas were surveyed using a deep-tow hydrothermal detection system (DHDS) with a line spacing of 2–4 km on segments 27–30. Yue et al. (2019) reported the results of DHDS survey on segment 27, and results from segments 28–30 are presented here.

The DHDS includes a deep-tow body with video, still cameras, and an Oxidation-Reduction Potential (ORP) sensor on some tows, as well as 3 to 4 Miniature Autonomous Plume Recorders along the cable within...
300 m of the deep-tow body. During a DHDS survey, we aimed to keep the deep-tow body 3–5 m above the seafloor in order to collect seabed images, although micro-topographical variability occasionally caused contact with the seafloor and resuspension of sediment.

The voltage output of Miniature Autonomous Plume Recorders is equivalent to nephelometric turbidity units (NTU). We cleaned the data by removing points laying outside +/− 3 standard deviations in 50-point data blocks. We then smoothed the remaining data using a 5-point (25 s) moving average. Lastly, we calculated a $\Delta$NTU value by subtracting a background value for each Miniature Autonomous Plume Recorder based on the NTU value of water above plume depth during each tow.

ORP sensors detect dissolved chemical species (e.g., Fe$^{+2}$, HS$,^-$, H$_2$ (Walker et al., 2007; Resing et al., 2009) from all vent types, including low-temperature vents with little or no $\Delta$NTU signature. ORP sensors respond immediately, with decreasing potential values (mV), and the signal dissipates within ~1 km from the source. This results in a more precise location of a source than is possible with optical sensors (Baker et al., 2016; Baker, 2017).

4. Results

4.1. Segment 28

Segment 28 is 42 km long, with an axial volcanic ridge having a width of 5 km and an across-axis relief of 500 m (Fig. 3). The shallowest axial water depth, 2740 m, is located at 49°46′E. The water depth deepens eastward to 3390 m and westward to 3840 m. Segment 28 presents a highly asymmetric topography. The southern ridge flank is relatively shallow (< 2000 m) and locally bears corrugations typical of oceanic core complex. The northern ridge flank has a deeper topography (> 2000 m). DHDS survey lines were carried out in a 900 km$^2$ area, covering nearly all the southern and part of the northern ridge flanks (Fig. 3). Longqi, regarded as the first confirmed active hydrothermal field on an ultraslow spreading ridge (Tao et al., 2012), is located on the southeast wall of the segment 28 axial valley (Zhao et al., 2013; Tao et al., 2014).

Increased $\Delta$NTU values define a broad and thick hydrothermal plume between ~2500 and 2700 m on the westward dipping axial valley wall of segment 28, ~12 km southwest of Longqi (Fig. 4a). The plume extends about 4 km along the survey line and is > 200 m thick. A $\Delta$NTU maximum of 0.06 was detected at 2600 m (Fig. 4b). An ORP sensor was mounted on the tow body during this tow, and recorded two prominent anomalies at 37°52.4′ and 37°52.8′S, identified by sharp drops in ORP (mV) followed by a characteristic slow recovery (tow direction was southward). We thus infer that one or more active discharge sites occur in a hydrothermal field we refer to as Longqi-2. The most likely location is near 49°32′E/37°52.8′S at a depth of ~2700 m, where the largest ORP anomaly and a near-bottom $\Delta$NTU anomaly occur. Maximum $\Delta$NTU values occur downstream from the maximum ORP anomaly because of the time lag in precipitation of particulate Fe from hydrothermal dissolved Fe (e.g., Field and Sherrell, 2000). The presence of ORP anomalies confirms that the observed optical plume is not sourced at Longqi, even though its depth is similar to that presumed for Longqi-2.

4.2. Segment 29

Segment 29 is 44 km long, featuring a well-defined axial volcanic ridge 4.2 km wide and having an across-axis relief of 300 m (Fig. 5). The shallowest water depth (2760 m) occurs at 49°20′E, deepening eastward to 3840 m and westward to 4040 m. DHDS survey lines were conducted on the southern and northern walls of the axial valley, within an area of ~900 km$^2$. The Yuhuang field is located on top of a linear swell south of the axial volcanic ridge at a depth of 1300 to 1400 m (Han et al., 2010). Bulk geochemistry, plus sulfur and zinc isotopic compositions of sulfide samples, were analysed by Liao et al. (2018, 2019). A short survey line over the Yuhuang field (Fig. 6a, b) found plume horizons at multiple depths between 1400 and 1800 m, demonstrating that the field was active.

A broad and deeper hydrothermal plume extending ~10 km was detected by a DHDS survey downslope from Yuhuang hydrothermal field (Fig. 7a, b). The ~500-m-thick plume is centered near 2500 m, with a maximum $\Delta$NTU of ~0.03. Hydrothermal venting from the Yuhuang field is too shallow to produce a plume at this depth. We infer that a new hydrothermal vent field, Yuhuang-2, is near the location of the highest plume $\Delta$NTU, 49°13′E/37°56′S, ~3.5 km west from the Yuhuang hydrothermal field.

4.3. Segment 30

Segment 30 is 90 km long and the shallowest water depth is 2760 m, deepening eastward to 4040 m and westward to 3850 m (Fig. 8). DHDS survey lines were in a ~1400 km$^2$ area. A hydrothermal plume
extending ~4–5 km was located at the foot of the southern axial valley wall (Fig. 9a). The maximum ΔNTU anomaly of ~0.04 was centered at ~3000 m (Fig. 9b). Because a ΔNTU anomaly was only detected by the uppermost sensor, the true thickness of the plume and the location of its source are uncertain. We provisionally locate the S30 hydrothermal field at 48°55′E/38°3′S, below the observed plume.

5. Discussion

5.1. Hydrothermal vent spatial frequency (F_s) on ultraslow spreading segments

Our results identified five confirmed or inferred active hydrothermal vent fields on segments 28, 29, and 30. Yue et al. (2019) reported one confirmed, three inferred, and five suspected (because of inconclusive plume data) hydrothermal fields on segment 27; conservatively, we consider here only the four confirmed and inferred fields. In addition, Tao et al. (2009) reported two fields on segments 25 and 26. The total length of actively spreading ridge along segments 25–30 is 394 km (Beaulieu et al., 2015), yielding a F_s value of 2.8. This value is roughly 2× that in the InterRidge database for the 49°–52°E section of the Southwest Indian Ridge (Beaulieu et al., 2015). Perhaps more significantly, our F_s is ~3× that for other studied ultraslow ridges of similar spreading rate, and outside the 95% confidence level predicted for ultraslow ridges based on a global trend of F_s versus the spreading rate for 29 OSRs in the InterRidge database (Fig. 10).
Importantly, two primary reasons demand that our calculated $F_s$ value must be a minimum estimate of the true value. First, detailed surveys along the entire axial lengths of segments 25–30 have not yet been conducted. Existing DHDS lines were run within $\sim6000$ km$^2$ of the axial valley and inner flank walls on segments 27–30, only about half of the total area. The axial volcanic ridges of segments 28 and 29 remain unsurveyed, and coverage in segments 25 and 26 is especially sparse. Second, optimal survey techniques were not available. Turbidity sensors on the tow lines covered a water interval of $\sim300$ m, only...
minimally adequate at depths $>\sim 3000$ m, and lacked ORP capability (an ORP sensor was on the deep tow body on some tows). Continuous spatial surveys using both ΔNTU and ORP sensors (as used by Yue et al. (2019) on segment 27) find that the number of active vent sites on fast and intermediate-rate OSRs may be at least a factor of 3–6 higher than now presumed by the global trend (Fig. 10) (Baker et al., 2016), and there is no reason to expect a different outcome on slow-rate OSRs. Therefore, the true $F_s$ value in our study area is likely to be substantially lower than the global trend.
higher than our minimum value.

5.2. Magmatic and tectonic control of hydrothermal activity distribution

Excess magmatism in the Indomed–Gallieni supersegment has been recognized for decades (see the discussion in Yu and Dick (2020)) and must contribute to the higher than expected Fs. The source of this magma has been variously attributed to the 1000-km-distant Crozet hotspot (Sauter et al., 2009; Breton et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2017) or to more local magmatic conditions (Meyzen et al., 2005; Dalton et al., 2014). A new petrographic analysis of the Indomed–Gallieni supersegment (Yu and Dick, 2020) finds it an example of regional mantle fertility driven by plate reorganization, not hotspot activity. Whatever the origin of the melting anomaly, it clearly propagated eastward from the Indomed fracture zone from 11 to 8 Ma, dying out at ~1 Ma and apparently leaving segment 27 as a localized remnant (Sauter et al., 2009; Yu and Dick, 2020). This remnant is presently expressed by an axial magma chamber in the lower crust identified by a large low-velocity anomaly ~4–9 km below the seafloor (Zhang et al., 2013; Li et al., 2015). Li et al. (2015) suggest that segment 28 also contains a magmatic center, although the seismic data is insufficient to demonstrate a melt lens as detected in segment 27.

Although segment 27 is presently the most magma-rich location known on the supersegment, the presence of multiple active vent fields in segments to the west is strong evidence that some magmatic heat sources remain undiscovered along the inferred path of the melting
anomaly (Sauter et al., 2009; Yu and Dick, 2020). This conclusion is not surprising given the known association of high-temperature venting with the presence of a magma chamber. A compilation of data from six intermediate- to fast-spreading ridge sections (totalling 2100 km length), all of which had been seismically surveyed for the presence of an axial magma chamber, found that high-temperature vent fields were almost universally associated with the presence or inference of magma along those sections (Baker, 2009). This association was given a theoretical basis by Lowell (2010), who used heat flux modelling to show that heat transfer from an actively replenishing subaxial magma chamber is required to maintain high-temperature vent systems on decadal time scales.

Although high-temperature venting in our study area has been visually confirmed only at Longqi, the plume characteristics at the other inferred sites suggest they are also high-temperature and thus powered by magmatic heat. Such sites commonly show plume ΔNTU > ~0.1, plume rise > ~100 m, and a heat flux > ~1 MW (e.g., Germanovich et al., 2015). All the sites discovered here satisfy the first two characteristics, and we can estimate heat fluxes from their plume rise. As described by Germanovich et al. (2015), heat flux $H = \frac{(c_p \rho/\alpha g)}{(z/3.8)^{3/4}} N^3$, where $c_p$ is heat capacity for neutrally buoyant plume water at ~300 bar (4200 J/(kg°C)), $\rho$ is the local plume fluid density (1028 kg/m$^3$), $\alpha$ is the thermal expansion coefficient (~1.3 × 10$^{-4}$/°C), $g$ is gravitational acceleration (9.8 m/s$^2$), $z$ is plume rise (m), and $N$ is the local Brunt-Väisälä frequency ([($-g/\Delta \rho)(\rho \Delta z)$]$^{1/2}$, 5.95 × 10$^{-4}$ Hz). To estimate $z$ we use the inferred depth at site locations shown in Figs. 3, 5, and 8 and measure $z$ from the seafloor to the plume ΔNTU maximum (Figs. 4, 7, and 9). For Longqi-2 and Yuhuang-2, $z = 200$ m and $H = 4.6$ MW; and for S30, $z = 350$ m and $H = 43$ MW. These are rough estimates of $H$, especially given the uncertainty of the true vent depths, but they are unlikely to overestimate $H$ by an order of magnitude.

Vent fields in segments 27–30 are found from the near-axis region to the upper flank of the studied axial valleys (Fig. 11). Hydrothermal

Fig. 7. Horizontal and vertical distribution of ΔNTU along the DHDS line through Yuhuang hydrothermal field − 2. (a) Created by Ocean Data View (ODV) (Schlitzer, R., Ocean Data View, odv.awi.de, 2018).
activity is most concentrated on the axial volcanic ridge of segment 27, consistent with the location of a broad and thick melt body (Yue et al., 2019). These hydrothermal sites are similar to magmatic-hosted hydrothermal sites on the slow-spreading Mid-Atlantic Ridge (Gente et al., 1991; Allerton et al., 1995; Ondrěas et al., 1997; Haase et al., 2007, 2009; Marcon et al., 2013). On segments 28, 29, and 30, with deeper axial valleys, asymmetric ridge flanks, and short axial volcanic ridges, known fields occur on the axial valley walls. This distribution is consistent with the importance of normal and detachment faults in channelling hydrothermal fluids to off-axis vent fields (McCaig et al., 2007). These faults may have been activated by the migration of the melting anomaly through our study area, opening additional fluid pathways to the magmatic heat sources. Owing to the paucity of DHDS lines on the ridge axis of the survey segments, however, we cannot preclude the existence of hydrothermal activity on the axial volcanic ridges of those segments.

6. Conclusions

We used detailed water column surveys to detect evidence of seafloor hydrothermal venting on multiple segments of the ultraslow-spreading Southwest Indian Ridge between the Indomed and Gallieni fracture zones. Eleven active fields (three confirmed and eight inferred) occur on the explored segments 25–30, which extend ~394 km along the ridge axis. The spatial density of vent fields ($F_v$) is thus 2.8 sites/100 km, about 3× higher than expected for an ultraslow ridge based on the InterRidge global dataset of spreading ridges, and 2× greater than found for the same area in that database. We emphasize that our $F_v$ is a minimum value given the present incomplete state of exploration in this area. The distribution of hydrothermal activity is consistent with the confirmed presence of magma bodies beneath segment 27 and inferred for segment 28. The presence of active venting on segments 25–30 implies the presence of additional magma bodies across a broad extent of the Indomed–Gallieni supersegment.
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Fig. 9. Horizontal and vertical distribution of ΔNTU along the DHDS line through S30 hydrothermal field.

Fig. 10. Global trend of vent field spatial density (Fv) vs. spreading rate along OSRs. Red line is linear regression trend (y = 0.027x + 0.72) using only the blue circles (ridge sections using data from the InterRidge Database), grey lines are ±95% confidence band. Red star denotes results from this paper. Yellow squares refer to segments with detailed surveys using NTU and Oxidation-Reduction Potential sensors: eastern Galápagos Spreading Center (eGSC), central Galápagos Spreading Center (cGSC), Eastern Lau Spreading Center (ELSC), and Northern East Pacific Rise (NEPR) (Baker, 2017), and segment 27 (S27) (Yue et al., 2019). The figure is modified from Beaulieu et al. (2015) and Baker (2017). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
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